Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
Rules and Regulations Implementing the)	CG Docket No. 02-278
Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991)	
)	
P2P Alliance Petition for Clarification)	

DECLARATORY RULING

Adopted: June 25, 2020 Released: June 25, 2020

By the Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) prohibits any person from calling or text messaging someone else on a wireless phone using an automatic telephone dialing system (an "autodialer") or an artificial or prerecorded voice unless it is an emergency or they have the called party's prior express consent.¹ The TCPA defines an autodialer as "equipment which has the capacity—(A) to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and (B) to dial such numbers."²

2. In 2018, the P2P Alliance, a coalition of providers and users of peer-to-peer (P2P) text messaging services for schools, non-profits, and other groups filed a petition for clarification asking the Commission to clarify that texts sent via a particular type of peer-to-peer messaging platforms are not subject to the TCPA's restrictions.³ The P2P Alliance describes the relevant text messaging platforms as ones that enable two-way text communication, require a person to manually send each text message one

¹ The Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) is codified at section 227 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227.

² See 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1). The details of the Commission's interpretation of the autodialer definition remain pending in the wake of a 2018 decision of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in ACA International v. FCC, that vacated parts of the Commission's 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order. See ACA Int'l et al. v. FCC, 885 F.3d 687, 695-700 (D.C. Cir. 2018) (ACA Int'l) (affirming in part and vacating in part Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, WC Docket No. 07-135, Declaratory Ruling and Order, 30 FCC Rcd 7961 (2015) (2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order)). The Bureau sought renewed comment on the definition of an "autodialer." See Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau Seeks Comments on Interpretation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act in Light of the D.C. Circuit's ACA International Decision, CG Docket Nos. 18-152 and 02-278, Public Notice, 33 FCC Rcd 4864 (2018) (ACA Public Notice). Until that issue is decided by the Commission, we rely on the statutory definition of autodialer.

³ See Petition for Clarification of the P2P Alliance, CG Docket No. 02-278 at 1 (filed May 3, 2018) (P2P Alliance Petition).

at a time, and enable the sender to exercise discretion regarding the content and other features of the text messages.⁴

3. By this Declaratory Ruling, we clarify that the fact that a calling platform or other equipment is used to make calls or send texts to a large volume of telephone numbers is not probative of whether that equipment constitutes an autodialer under the TCPA. Instead, we make clear that if a calling platform is not capable of originating a call or sending a text without a person actively and affirmatively manually dialing each one, that platform is not an autodialer and calls or texts made using it are not subject to the TCPA's restrictions on calls and texts to wireless phones. We further confirm that, even when a party uses an autodialer to send a message, it may still avoid TCPA liability by obtaining the recipient's prior express consent.

II. BACKGROUND

- 4. Congress enacted the TCPA to address certain calling practices that invade consumer privacy and threaten public safety. The TCPA and the Commission's rules prohibit autodialed, prerecorded, or artificial voice calls to wireless telephone numbers without the prior express consent of the called party, unless the call is an emergency or one of a small number of other exceptions applies.⁵ The TCPA defines an autodialer as "equipment which has the capacity—(A) to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator; and (B) to dial such numbers." The same restrictions apply to text messages sent using an autodialer. In 2016, the FCC issued an Enforcement Advisory regarding the agency's TCPA robocall and text rules, which included an attachment addressing frequently asked questions (FAQ). The FAQ stated that "only manually placed text messages are permissible without prior express consent."
- 5. In 2018, the P2P Alliance, a coalition of providers and users of peer-to-peer text messaging, asked whether its messaging is subject to the TCPA's restrictions on calls to wireless numbers. The P2P Alliance states that its text messages do not involve use of autodialers as defined in the TCPA. Specifically, it states that the P2P platform "does not include 'the capacity to . . . store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator." According to the P2P Alliance, the platform "requires a person to actively and affirmatively manually dial each recipient's number and transmit each message one at a time." The P2P Alliance also states that the individual

⁴ See Letter from Howard Waltzman, Counsel to the P2P Alliance, to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, FCC, CG Docket No. 02-278 (filed July 30, 2019) (*P2P Alliance Ex Parte*).

⁵ See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1). Section 227(b)(1) also exempts from this restriction calls made solely to collect a debt owed to or guaranteed by the federal government.

⁶ See 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).

⁷ See Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Report and Order, 18 FCC Rcd 14014, 14115, para. 165 (2003) (2003 TCPA Order); see also Satterfield v. Simon & Schuster, Inc., 569 F.3d 946 (9th Cir. 2009) (noting that text messaging is a form of communication used primarily between telephones and is therefore consistent with the definition of a "call").

⁸ FCC Enforcement Advisory No. 2016-03, DA 16-264 (Mar. 14, 2016) (2016 Enforcement Advisory and FAQ).

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ See generally P2P Alliance Petition.

¹¹ *Id*. at 4.

¹² *Id*.

sending a P2P text can choose to send a message from a prepared script, to modify the script before sending the text, or to draft their own unique message.¹³

- 6. The P2P Alliance further argues that recipients of non-political text messages using the P2P texting technology have "indicated [their] consent to receive such messages by providing a contact number to which such messages are delivered." It states that text messages sent using P2P technology "are precisely the type that Congress intended the TCPA to permit and encourage: communications between a sender and a recipient with a previous relationship, where the recipient has indicated his or her consent to receive such messages by providing a contact number to which P2P Alliance messages are delivered."
- 7. The Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau (Bureau) sought comment on the request. ¹⁶ Members of the P2P Alliance as well as the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, the National Association for Equal Opportunity in Higher Education, the National Black Justice Coalition, and Vote. Org supported the petition. ¹⁷ One individual commenter opposed the petition, noting that peer-to-peer text messaging enables users to send a high volume of texts that may be unwanted. ¹⁸ The National Consumer Law Center (NCLC) and other consumer groups also opposed the petition, arguing (among other things) that the record lacks sufficient technical information to determine whether the texting involves use of autodialer equipment, and the fact that P2P platforms are used to "send[] massive numbers of texts in tiny periods of time" indicates that "the individual involvement in sending these messages is so vanishingly small as to be meaningless" and thus that the platforms meet the definition of an autodialer. ¹⁹

III. DISCUSSION

8. We clarify that the fact that a calling platform or other equipment is used to make calls or send texts to a large volume of telephone numbers is not determinative of whether that equipment constitutes an autodialer under the TCPA. Instead, whether the calling platform or equipment is an autodialer turns on whether such equipment is capable of dialing random or sequential telephone numbers without human intervention. If a calling platform is not capable of dialing such numbers without a person actively and affirmatively manually dialing each one, that platform is not an autodialer and calls made using it are not subject to the TCPA's restrictions on calls to wireless phones. To the extent the P2P texting platform advocated by the P2P Alliance is not capable of making calls or sending texts without a person actively and affirmatively manually dialing each number, it is not an autodialer as defined by the TCPA, and thus we grant P2P Alliance's petition to that extent. Additionally, to the extent members of

¹³ *Id.* at 2.

¹⁴ *Id*. at 3.

¹⁵ *Id.* at 5-6 ("P2P text messaging pertaining to non-political purposes are sent only after a prior relationship or prior consent exists.").

¹⁶ See Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau Seeks Comment on P2P Alliance Petition for Clarification Under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CG Docket No. 02-278, Public Notice, 33 FCC Rcd 5037 (2018).

¹⁷ See, e.g., NAACP Comments at 1-2; NAFEO Comments at 1; NBJC Comments at 1; Vote.org Comments at 2.

¹⁸ See Matt Tucker Comments.

¹⁹ See Letter from Margot Saunders, Senior Counsel, National Consumer Law Center et al., to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, FCC, CG Docket No. 02-278 (filed July 12, 2019) (NCLC et al. *Ex Parte*).

the P2P Alliance have obtained the called party's prior express consent, their calls are not subject to TCPA liability even if they use an autodialer.

- 9. Under the terms of the TCPA, only technology that has the capacity to store or produce numbers to be called using a random or sequential number generator, and to dial such numbers, is deemed to be an autodialer.²⁰ Whether a certain piece of equipment or platform is an autodialer turns on whether it is capable of performing those functions without human intervention, not whether it can make a large number of calls in a short time. Thus, in its original TCPA proceeding, the Commission found that "the prohibitions of [section] 227(b)(1) clearly do not apply to functions such as 'speed dialing,'" because the numbers are not generated in a random or sequential fashion.²¹ The Commission also has long held that the basic function of an autodialer is to "dial numbers without human intervention."²² As the FCC's 2016 Enforcement Advisory and FAQ made clear, "manually placed text messages are permissible without prior express consent."²³
- 10. The P2P Alliance states that its "text messaging does not involve the use of equipment that constitutes an automatic telephone dialing system ('autodialer') as such term is defined by the TCPA, nor are P2P messages made using an autodialer." As described by the P2P Alliance, peer-to-peer text messaging is a communications technology that allows organizations to use either an online platform or a mobile application to send text messages to recipients from a single sender to a single recipient to initiate a two-way communication. The P2P Alliance states that the platform does not include "the capacity . . . to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator." ²⁶
- 11. We do not rule on whether any particular P2P text platform is an autodialer because the record lacks a sufficient factual basis for us to confirm (or for commenters to assess) whether any particular P2P text platform actually works as claimed in the *P2P Alliance Petition*. We clarify, however, that if a texting platform actually "requires a person to actively and affirmatively manually dial each recipient's number and transmit each message one at a time" and lacks the capacity to transmit more than one message without a human manually dialing each recipient's number, as suggested in the *P2P Alliance Petition*, then such platform would not be an "autodialer" that is subject to the TCPA.
- 12. We disagree with NCLC's contention that the TCPA's restrictions should apply to P2P systems because otherwise "telemarketers and spammers would immediately gravitate to P2P systems as a way to evade the TCPA's restrictions on unwanted calls." The TCPA does not and was not intended to stop every type of call. Rather, it was limited only to calls made using an autodialer or an artificial or prerecorded voice. If a text platform is not capable of storing or producing numbers to be called using a

²⁰ 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).

²¹ Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, CC Docket No. 92-90, Report and Order, 7 FCC Rcd 8752, 8776, para. 47 (1992) (1992 TCPA Order).

²² See 2003 TCPA Order, 18 FCC Rcd at 14092, para. 132.

²³ 2016 Enforcement Advisory and FAQ.

²⁴ See P2P Alliance Petition at 3.

²⁵ *Id.* at 1-2.

²⁶ *Id.* (citing 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)); *see also* RNC Comments at 6; BAC Comments at 1; NBJC Comments at 1, Vote.org Comments at 2, Chapman Comments at 1, Fearless Comments at 1, 60 Plus Comments at 2, NAFEO Comments at 1, NAACP Comments at 2.

²⁷ NCLC et al. Ex Parte at 2.

random or sequential number generator and dialing such numbers automatically but instead requires active and affirmative manual dialing, it is not an autodialer and callers using it are, by definition, not "evading" the TCPA.

13. Finally, we reiterate that, even to the extent that calls are made using an autodialer, the TCPA's restrictions do not apply if the caller or texter obtains the recipient's prior express consent.²⁸ The P2P Alliance asserts that certain peer-to-peer text messages are sent only with prior consent because the texted party has provided a contact number to which such messages are delivered.²⁹ The Commission has repeatedly made clear that "persons who knowingly release their telephone numbers" for a particular purpose "have in effect given their invitation or permission to be called at the number which they have given" for that purpose, absent instructions to the contrary.³⁰ To the extent P2P calls are made to parties that have knowingly released their telephone number to the caller for a particular purpose, then calls within the scope of that consent do not run afoul of the TCPA regardless of whether the caller used an autodialer.³¹

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

14. For the reasons stated above, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to sections 1-4 and 227 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 151-154, 227, sections 1.2 and 64.1200 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR §§ 1.2, 64.1200, and the authority delegated in sections 0.141 and 0.361 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR §§ 0.141, 0.361, that the Petition for Clarification filed by the P2P

²⁸ See 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1); 47 CFR § 64.1200(a).

²⁹ See P2P Alliance Petition at 5-6; see also Vote.org Comments at 2; VON Comments at 2; NAFEO Comments at 2; NAACP Comments at 2.

³⁰ 1992 TCPA Order, 7 FCC Rcd at 8769, para. 31. In the ACA Declaratory Ruling, for example, the Commission clarified that a party who provides his or her wireless number to a creditor as part of a credit application "reasonably evidences prior express consent by the cell phone subscriber to be contacted at the number regarding the debt." Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Request of ACA International for Clarification and Declaratory Ruling, CG Docket No. 02-278, 23 FCC Rcd 559, 564, para. 9 (2008) (ACA Declaratory Ruling). In the case of health care providers and schools, the Commission found that the provision of a phone number constitutes prior express consent if the covered entities are making calls within the scope of the consent given, and absent instructions to the contrary. See 2015 TCPA Declaratory Ruling and Order, 30 FCC Rcd at 8028, para. 141 & n.474 (clarifying that "within the scope of consent given, and absent instructions to the contrary," means "that the call must be closely related to the purpose for which the telephone number was originally provided"); Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Blackboard, Inc. Petition for Expedited Declaratory Ruling, CG Docket No. 02-278, Declaratory Ruling, 31 FCC Rcd 9054, 9064, para. 23 (2016) (confirming that when a parent or student provides their wireless number as a contact to a school, the scope of consent includes communications from the school closely related to the educational mission of the school or to official school activities absent instructions to the contrary from the party who provides the phone number); see also Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, GroupMe, Inc./Skype Communications S.A.R.L. Petition for Expedited Declaratory Ruling, CG Docket No. 02-278, 29 FCC Rcd 3442, 3447, para. 14 (2014) ("[W]e confirm that a caller remains liable for TCPA violations when it relies upon the assertion of an intermediary that the consumer has given such prior express consent. We emphasize that the intermediary may only convey consent that has actually been provided by the consumer; the intermediary cannot provide consent on behalf of the consumer.").

³¹ Although P2P Alliance asserts that text messages sent for political purposes are not "telephone solicitations," the TCPA's prohibitions encompass calls and texts *even* if they do not contain a telephone solicitation. *See* 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A); *see also Telephone Consumer Protection Act Robocalls and Text Rules*; *Biennial Reminder for Political Campaigns About Robocalls and Text Abuse*, Enforcement Advisory, 31 FCC Rcd 1940 (2016).

Alliance on May 3, 2018, IS GRANTED TO THE EXTENT DESCRIBED HEREIN.

15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Declaratory Ruling shall be effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Patrick Webre Chief Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau

Abbreviation

Appendix

List of Commenters

Commenters

60 Plus Association 60 Plus Bay Area Council Bay Area Chapman, Cubine, and Hussey Chapman Fearless Mobile Strategies Fearless Mobile National Black Justice Coalition **NBJC** National Association for the Advancement of Colored People **NAACP** National Association for Equal Opportunity in Higher Education **NAFEO** Republican National Committee **RNC** Matt Tucker Matt Tucker Voice on the Net Coalition Voice on the Net Coalition Vote.org Vote.org